

UNITED STAT DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United Stat s Pat nt and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

AF	PPLICATION NO.4 FILING DATE 30 99 STUIFIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR	.J ATTO	DRNEY DOCKET NO 0
_	HM12/0405 FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT & DUNNER LLP	; 	BAWÆXK	MINER
	1300 I STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20005-3315		ART ÚNÍTÍ. 9	04/05/01 ³
			DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/385,412

Applicant(s)

Strula

Examiner

Mr. Raj Bawa

Group Art Unit 1619



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)}	
a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Acis later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from rejection.	dvisory Action, whichever om the date of the final
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the d determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 3 calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above	7 CFR 1.17 will be
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.1	92(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Mar 21, 2001</u> has been considered with but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	the following effect,
☐ The proposed amendment(s):	
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
will not be entered because:	
they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note	below).
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reduissues for appeal.	cing or simplifying the
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally reject	ted claims.
NOTE:	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place to allowed because: 	owable if submitted in a
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allow separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ☑ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive for the reasons of record (refer to the previous office) 	owable if submitted in a he application in condition actions). Note that the
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place to for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive for the reasons of record (refer to the previous office compositions of Thomaides may be delivered as an aerosol employing VOCs and a valve action. 	he application in condition actions). Note that the ator (see page 2,
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place to for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive for the reasons of record (refer to the previous office compositions of Thomaides may be delivered as an aerosol employing VOCs and a valve actual to the Examiner in the final rejection. 	he application in condition actions). Note that the ator (see page 2, nich were newly raised by
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allow separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place to allowance because: Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive for the reasons of record (refer to the previous office compositions of Thomaides may be delivered as an aerosol employing VOCs and a valve actual to the affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues where the province of the previous of the pr	he application in condition actions). Note that the ator (see page 2, nich were newly raised by
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place to for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive for the reasons of record (refer to the previous office compositions of Thomaides may be delivered as an aerosol employing VOCs and a valve actual to the Examiner in the final rejection. ✓ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation). 	he application in condition actions). Note that the ator (see page 2, nich were newly raised by on, if any):
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place to for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive for the reasons of record (refer to the previous office compositions of Thomaides may be delivered as an aerosol employing VOCs and a valve actual to the Examiner in the final rejection. ✓ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation Claims allowed:	he application in condition actions). Note that the ator (see page 2, nich were newly raised by on, if any):
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allow separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ★ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place to for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive for the reasons of record (refer to the previous office compositions of Thomaides may be delivered as an aerosol employing VOCs and a valve actual to the Examiner in the final rejection. ★ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation Claims allowed:	he application in condition actions). Note that the ator (see page 2, nich were newly raised by on, if any):
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allow separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place to for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive for the reasons of record (refer to the previous office compositions of Thomaides may be delivered as an aerosol employing VOCs and a valve actual three transfers of the final rejection. ✓ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues where the Examiner in the final rejection. ✓ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation claims allowed:	bwable if submitted in a he application in condition actions). Note that the ator (see page 2, nich were newly raised by on, if any):
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allow separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ★ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place to for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive for the reasons of record (refer to the previous office compositions of Thomaides may be delivered as an aerosol employing VOCs and a valve actual to the Examiner in the final rejection. ★ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation Claims allowed:	bwable if submitted in a he application in condition actions). Note that the ator (see page 2, nich were newly raised by on, if any):
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allow separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive for the reasons of record (refer to the previous office compositions of Thomaides may be delivered as an aerosol employing VOCs and a valve actual three three three three three trees are unpersuasive for the reasons of record (refer to the previous office compositions of Thomaides may be delivered as an aerosol employing VOCs and a valve actual three trees are unpersuasive for the reasons of record (refer to the previous office compositions of Thomaides may be delivered as an aerosol employing VOCs and a valve actual to the Examiner in the final rejection. ✓ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation claims allowed: Claims allowed: Claims objected to:	bwable if submitted in a he application in condition actions). Note that the ator (see page 2, nich were newly raised by on, if any):
 Newly proposed or amended claims	he application in condition actions). Note that the ator (see page 2, nich were newly raised by on, if any):
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allow separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Interest and the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation claims allowed:	he application in condition actions). Note that the ator (see page 2, nich were newly raised by on, if any): Droved by the Examiner. RAJ BAWA, Ph.D.
 Newly proposed or amended claims	he application in condition actions). Note that the ator (see page 2, nich were newly raised by on, if any):